

## SADP @ new approach ..

*Mathematics by itself is indeed an art, but it's direct translation into art does not necessarily result in art or beauty as in By Numbers<sup>1</sup>.*

Historically there has always been a link between science and art. In the classical periods there was a lot of discussion about art and their view of the world. The link between view to greater understanding of the world through numbers and their link to art. The Pythagorean triangle<sup>i</sup> was the first step towards the face of god. Özcan and Akar (2016) state that art is based on logical forms and that the link between science and art.

link

Pythagoras  
music

geometrical

In the renaissance times it was a time of great discovery such as Leonardo da Vinci who was a polymath for the majority of his career. And he was one of the best figurative portraits of the time. He designed the basilica (at that period an extraordinary achievement in dimensions) as well as being a great artist. He applied thought the renaissance was a time of great discovery can be demonstrated how he applied his knowledge to the design of Medici Chapel<sup>3</sup>. At the time of the renaissance field and people would take as much interest in the arts as in science.

Michelangelo used  
science

Even outside of the context of the renaissance there was still broad overlap between the two disciplines. Extraordinary examples of this are the most important achievements in science that transcended their fields, Newton and Leibnitz devoted a large part of their lives to addressing a broad range of scientific and economic history, architecture, and engineering. It is worth noting about their differing developments in calculus that Newton more effectively to create a calculus that is generally considered the first to be used in physics that is commonly used for mathematical purposes.

renaissance man  
disciplines

technology  
Calculus

aesthetic reasons

In recent decades there has been a separation between the sciences and the arts, stemming from the specialization of both fields. It would not be unexpected to find a scientist in an art gallery or an

separation

<sup>i</sup> A Pythagorean triangle is a right angle triangle where the sum of the square of two sides equal the square of the hypotenuse.  $A^2+B^2=C^2$ , this only occurs for specific integer numbers.

artist to have not studied any of the sciences or theories of maths needed to operate in the modern world.

Someone who is not an artist, but who is interested in the sciences, beauty, and mathematics, might be intrigued by the idea of shying away from an educational separation of the sciences, artist, and beauty.

institutions tend to teach these ideas as concurrent, differing fields that have no relations leading the individuals in any way. concepts exists separation.

It is a worthwhile question asking when or why this separation exists. It is open to question whether it will not significantly help to resolve the problem of this separation. It is more important to realise that this situation, and problem, exists. There are ways to counter the issue instead of spending time trying to figure out how this occurred.

Recently people and institutions have been trying to remedy this issue. The implementation of multi- or cross-disciplinary education. The Bauhaus and the Ulm<sup>5</sup> institutes were both working on the idea of quantizing the artistic process or making it more academic. Other examples of this include the presence of a resident artist's presence in an academic scientific department. My undergraduate physics department, for instance, had a resident artist to help with the design of that environment. This was a good idea, but it was not enough.

But this is not enough. There needs to be a visual language formed from math and design. The creation of a hybrid child between art and science, without the need to acknowledge that there is more common ground than is currently acknowledged.

Computational demonstration of mathematical elements as computers purely carry out mathematical functions and then interpret them by logical rules to create images. Computational essence is one of mathematics demonstration of how maths can be interpreted.

visual design and scientific there is potential for this medium to demonstrate using a tool that is native to both reduce risk work that alienates

audiences by selecting a tool that they are not familiar with, the lack of familiarity changing their focus on the work.

This thesis will analyse the interface between art and science through the use of digital design media and Art; a Design Interface (SADI) interface will consist of computer program numerals and equations entered into a static graphical user interface typographically different potentials data can be interpreted as information density contained within a dynamic process. There can be drawn to the work of J. J. Gibson's 'The Way We Perceive the World', transcribing into a subject matter or math and as the basis of the program as follows "The user can enter text, edit text, adjust static and dynamic layout, apply dynamic and interactive behaviors, and adjust their parameters with a common set of tools and a common interface."



Fig. 1: OBX Labs, Parting The Veil (left) and Benjamin Fry, Valence (right).  
An example of the use of Lewis's TextEngine

There are also links that can be drawn to the works of a student at MIT, who has developed a system called Valence to analyse the structure of information deep systems. Also there are Peter Cho who uses dynamic typography to examine the way the text is read

This project is founded on the gap between disciplines, science and digital media offers a new ground to bridge the divide between art and science. There has been growth to link the disciplines of science and art, but a lack of knowledge exchange as scientists and most artists do not have an understanding of the other discipline. This thesis and project will act to show that there are ways to have a scientific principle/methodology that is ground useful to both disciplines.

Central to this project is the choice of subject matter, which are largely looked as having functional properties rather than creative. This project will challenge this perception by using numerals in

an inventive and dynamic manner. This kind of research is integral to understanding our human and digital landscape.

Mathematics is the corner stone of the hard sciences. It goes further into pure mathematics that is beyond the scope of this project because this area holds not interest or is not worth exploring. This thesis it holds less interest then the applied forms of mathematics such as physics, for example. This decision is one of practicality. On the more applied side of pure sciences it is a decision that is made in a demonstrable manner that is likely to be understood by a wider audience rather than a higher order process that is difficult to convey to a wider audience let alone someone not schooled in the subject.

Only by presenting this project in a way that is appealing to that of a scientists mind can a visually appealing and interesting presentation be made. All this kind of presentation is seen as more than just a thought process. The conveyance of information in this process will be shown to be the art form of the future.

This is a different process that which has recently seen mathematical representations. Recently the focus has been on the visualisation of patterns that have only been possible to visualise through the use of computers and fractals. While there is a debate about whether these images are art or not, from this thesis's perspective they are ultimately un-interesting. They provide no insight into the process of mathematics, but represent a specific visualisation of it and have historical interest as some of the first mathematical representations that would not have been possible without computers. John Maeda describes fractals as “*primarily the selection of patterns*”<sup>11</sup>.

Over the times that math has been used in a visual medium; this can be seen in the works of Anna Bliss, Berner Venet<sup>13</sup> and Mel Bochner<sup>14</sup>. In Windows (1980) by Anna Bochner writes numerals in To Count: Intransitive use of maths in this creates

barrier between audience  
used only because of this barrier

could be augmented to perform the same visual appearance would allow the audience to notice the background concepts behind

= {[ (v' o v') o (u' o x) ] o [ (v' o v') o (v'' o v'') ] }  
o {[ (v' o v') o (v' o v') ] o [ (y o v') o (u'' o v'') ] }  
= {[ (v' o u') o (v' o x) ] o [ (v' o v') o (v'' o v'') ] }  
o {[ (v' o v') o (v' o v') ] o [ (y o v') o (u'' o v'') ] }  
= {[ (v' o u') o (v' o v') ] o [ (v' o x) o (v'' o v'') ] }  
o {[ (v' o v') o (v' o v') ] o [ (y o v') o (u'' o v'') ] }  
= {[ (v' o u') o (v' o v') ] o [ (v' o v') o (v' o v') ] }  
o {[ (v' o x) o (v'' o v'') ] o [ (y o v') o (u'' o v'') ] }  
= {[ (v' o u') o (v' o v') ] o [ (v' o v') o (v' o v') ] }  
o {[ (v' o x) o (y o v'') ] o [ (v'' o v'') o (v' o v'') ] }

Venet, Related to: "Communicative Operations"

Acrylic on canvas,

Venet's work extracting  
scientific text books

demonstrate should present new insights  
art utilises science

By chance, this I mean, is a foreign subject in much the same way. When scientists have applied themselves to show the visual order and process that mathematics the emphasis to numerals and demonstrating their internal order information density it should be possible to allow an aesthetically pleasing reversing of mathematics that has previously not been expressed upon

By prefacing this paper with a quote from John Maeda, one of the more innovative contemporary computational artists and teachers, the essence of the challenge that is contained within this work is central challenge is to show that the visualisation of numerals and scientific manipulated to produce a visual result that still reflects the system's inherent beauty to art. An analogy can be drawn to typography works connects to poetry, how the typography affects the way that a poem is expressed and understood.

expression shown  
becomes one of subjectivity.

But there is increased  
specialisation

asked is why is it important to have this space or difficult and contentious question. It is as it states that "there is a growing mountain of knowledge that we are bogged down to answer as both a good and technological one. Licklider also

proposes the use of computers to assist human's poses processes but notes that the lack of a coherent joint language is a problem.

While the technology is not there, the notions of Licklider and Bush to be achieved there is still the problem of the blinkering their thoughts to other areas limiting their perception of the world, and a part of this work is to break down the language divide to allow the penetration of the perception divide. Artists work in a qualitative medium while science is based in quantities (e.g. physics).

A scientist quantising physical action, relationship, process etc then begins to understand the world leads to a different, and I would argue the most insightful, view of the corporeal world. Someone who is trained to do this will see the world as mathematics applied to the physical world. An artist's ability and therefore loses an insightful manner or even the ability to see the world as it is.

scientist as their training does not teach them to look beyond a purely conceptual aesthetic appearance of their technology. They have an innate visual language that is not taught.

unification to re-occur to progress.

*Design By Numbers* Maeda, 1999, pp235, MIT Press.

*Leonardo* Mathematics and Design Education, Design issues (Vol. 30, No. 2, pp105-112, 1997).

*Digital* Bras, MIT Press, pp125, 1994.

*Design* and Society: The Ulm Hochschule fur Gestaltung, Summer 1998.

*TEXTIVETEXT*, The Thought Shop, <http://www.thoughtshop.com/research/atexttr/atext.htm>, No publication date.

*Next Text*, [http://www.thoughtshop.com/research/nexttext/textengine/research\\_textengine.htm](http://www.thoughtshop.com/research/nexttext/textengine/research_textengine.htm), No publication date.

<http://www.thoughtshop.com/people/fry/valence/> no publication date

<http://www.thoughtshop.com/pcho.net/> no publication date

*Photographic Journal* (UK), Vol. 133, No. 6, July 1998.

*Art In America*, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp 19-22, 1993

*Art In America*, Vol. 91, No. 4, pp 108-113, April 2003

15 *Art In America*, Vol. 84, No. 9, pp 88-93, September 1996

16 *As We May Think* Atlantic monthly, 176(1):101-108, July 1996

*Computer Symbiosis*, IRE Transactions on Human Factors and Ergonomics, HFE-10, 1969

*Factors in Electronics*, IRE Transactions on Human Factors and Ergonomics, HFE-10, 1969